

Freight Railroad Realignment Feasibility Study



Securing freight rail transportation in the National Capital Region

Freight Railroad Realignment Feasibility Study

The Freight Railroad Realignment Feasibility Study is a joint effort of the District of Columbia Department of Transportation and the National Capital Planning Commission. The U.S. Department of Homeland Security provided the study grant, “Securing Freight Rail Transportation in the National Capital Region.”

The consultant team PB, Inc., Cambridge Systematics, Inc., and Basile Baumann Prost & Associates, Inc. prepared this report.



Contents

Executive Summary	1
Issues	1
Study Objectives	3
Study Approach and Methods	3
Analysis	7
Results of the Feasibility Study	10
Conclusions	10
Next Steps	11
Study Management and Coordination	14
Section 1. Study Purpose and Approach	1
Issues	1
Study Objectives	2
Historic and Planning Context	2
Study Approach and Methods	5
Study Management and Coordination	6
Section 2. Railroad and Regional Characteristics	7
Railroad Characteristics	7
Train Types	7
Functional Configuration	8
Physical Characteristics	15
Operational Characteristics and Railroad Facilities	19
Current and Future Traffic Levels	21
Railroad Security Considerations	23
Threats	23
Vulnerability	23
Consequence	24
Installation of New Security	25
Measures on the Rail Line	25
Regional Freight Markets	25
Traffic Description	26
Market Analysis	27
Market Conclusions	36
Baseline Environmental and Social Characteristics	37
Environmental Features	37
Social Conditions	40
Identification of Potential	48
Railroad Corridors	48
Western Corridors	48
Central Corridors	52
Eastern Corridors	53

Contents (cont.)

Section 3. Development of Alternative Railroad Alignments	57
Development of Preliminary Corridors	57
Development of Feasible Alternatives	60
Security	60
Railroad Operations	60
Engineering	61
Environmental Characteristics	61
Results	61
Development of Viable Alternatives	62
Security and Safety	62
Railroad Operations	64
Engineering	66
Environmental Characteristics	67
Results	68
Section 4. Evaluation of Alternative Alignments	73
Description of Viable Alternatives	73
DC Tunnel	73
Indian Head	73
Dahlgren	76
Benefit-Cost Analysis	77
Benefit-Cost Analysis Methodology	77
Benefit-Cost Analysis Assumptions	79
Run Time	79
Transportation-Related Benefits	80
Benefit-Cost Analysis Results	94
Comparison of Alternatives	96
Section 5. Findings	101
Results of the Feasibility Study	101
Conclusions	101
Next Steps	103
Short-Term Improvements	103
Funding	103
Organization	104
Planning	104
Interregional Coordination	104
References	105

Contents (cont.)

Figures

Figure ES-1. Washington, DC Railroads	1
Figure ES-2. Regional Railroads	4
Figure ES-3. Alternative Development Process.....	5
Figure ES-4. Viable Alignment Alternatives	6
Figure ES-5. Property Classification and Population Density.....	9
Figure 1-1. Washington, DC Railroads	1
Figure 1-2. Freight Tanker Car in front of U.S. Capitol.....	1
Figure 1-3. Vision for the Monumental Core	3
Figure 2-1. Regional Railroads.....	9
Figure 2-2. Washington, DC Railroads	10
Figure 2-3. RF&P Subdivision through Fredericksburg	11
Figure 2-4. Long Bridge.....	14
Figure 2-5. Virginia Avenue Tunnel.....	14
Figure 2-6. Washington, DC Area Railroad Segments.....	16
Figure 2-7. Current and Future Traffic Levels.....	21
Figure 2-8. DC Freight Rail Tonnage (2005)	26
Figure 2-9. DC Rail Carloads and Intermodal Movements (2005)	26
Figure 2-10. DC Rail Movements - Top 10 Origin and Destination Pairs (2005).....	26
Figure 2-11. Top Commodities by Tonnage Moving Through DC (2005)	27
Figure 2-12. Hazardous Material Rail Movements (2005)	28
Figure 2-13. Manufacturing Establishments > 25 Employees.....	30
Figure 2-14. Transportation/Utility Establishments > 25 Employees.....	31
Figure 2-15. Wholesale Trade Establishments > 25 Employees	32
Figure 2-16. Retail Establishments > 25 Employees	33
Figure 2-17. Growth in Intermodal Traffic	34
Figure 2-18. Study Area	38
Figure 2-19. Study Area - Natural Features	39
Figure 2-20. Existing Population Density	41
Figure 2-21. Existing Employment Density.....	42
Figure 2-22. Study Area Land Use	43
Figure 2-23. Utility Corridor Near Bowie.....	44
Figure 2-24. Locations of First Responders	46
Figure 2-25. Realignment Constraints and Opportunities	49
Figure 3-1. Alternative Development Process	57
Figure 3-2. Railroad near U.S. Capitol	57
Figure 3-3. Preliminary Corridors.....	59
Figure 3-4. Feasible Alternatives.....	63

Figure 3-5. Federal Employment in the Region.....	65
Figure 3-6. Pope’s Creek Branch, Upper Marlboro	67
Figure 3-7. Pope’s Creek Branch, Waldorf.....	67
Figure 3-8. Pope’s Creek Branch, La Plata	67
Figure 3-9. Distribution of Low-Income Population.....	69
Figure 3-10. Distribution of Minority Population	70
Figure 4-1. Viable Alternatives	74
Figure 4-2. Right-of-Way Classification of Viable Alternatives	75
Figure 4-3. Project Development Schedule.....	79
Figure 4-4. Real Estate Study Areas	87
Figure 4-5. Recent Decking Over Present Railroad.....	88
Figure 4-6. Proximity of Viable Alternatives to Future Population	97
Figure 4-7. Proximity of Viable Alternatives to Future Employment.....	98
Figure 5-1. Present Security Concerns.....	101

Tables

Table ES-1. Comparison of Alternatives	8
Table 2-1. Washington, DC Top Trading Partners (Annual Carloads)	27
Table 2-2. Percent of Total DC Inbound Freight Rail Commodities.....	27
Table 2-3. Transportation-Intensive Industries Contribution to GRP and Transportation Costs	28
Table 2-4. National Freight Growth by Mode,	35
Table 2-5. Freight Growth by Mode in DC Metropolitan Area, 2002-2035 (millions of tons)	35
Table 2-6. High and Low Growth Rates for DC Freight Rail Shipments, 2002-2035	35
Table 3-1. Development of Feasible Alternatives	62
Table 3-2. Development of Viable Alternatives.....	71
Table 4-1. Right-of-Way Breakdown of Viable Alternatives.....	76
Table 4-2. Benefit Categories Applied to Alternatives	78
Table 4-3. Alternative Alignment Time Differences	79
Table 4-4. Summary of Benefits* (2017-2057) \$2006	83
Table 4-5. Public/Private/Geographic Breakdown of Benefits* \$2006	84
Table 4-6. Moderate Development Scenario	88
Table 4-7. Land Use Mix Assumptions	88
Table 4-8. Cumulative Development with Moderate Development.....	89
Table 4-9. Value Increase Gradient.....	89
Table 4-10. Real Estate Benefits for Selected Years	90
Table 4-11. Conceptual Capital Costs of Alternatives	92
Table 4-12. DC Rail Benefit-Cost Analysis	94
Table 4-13. Comparison of Alternatives	99